UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR          | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/586,068           | 07/14/2006                      | James William Griffith Turner | BWT-74734           | 3262             |
| 24201<br>FULWIDER PA | 7590 11/19/200<br>ATTON LLP     | EXAMINER                      |                     |                  |
| HOWARD HU            | GHES CENTER                     | TRIEU, THAI BA                |                     |                  |
| LOS ANGELES          | DRIVE, TENTH FLO<br>S, CA 90045 | OK                            | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |                                 |                               | 3748                |                  |
|                      |                                 |                               |                     |                  |
|                      |                                 |                               | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |                                 |                               | 11/19/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. 10/586,068 |               | Applicant(s)                      |  |
|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|
|                            |               | TURNER, JAMES WILLIAM<br>GRIFFITH |  |
|                            | Examiner      | Art Unit                          |  |
|                            | THAI BA TRIEU | 3748                              |  |

|  | THAI BA TRIEU  | 3748                          |                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | THE REPLY FILED <u>21 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires to the stat | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE                              | g date of the final rejection | on.             |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date   | ,  | 36(a) and the annronriat      | e extension fee |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter  |  |                               | appeal. Since a |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w   | ithin the time period set forth in 37 (  | CFR 41.37(a).                 |                 |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection filed after a final rejection filed after a final rejection filed after a filed |  |                               | cause           |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | · ·  | E below),                     |                 |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | •  | ducina or simplifyina tl      | he issues for   |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or   | tor rollin for appear by materially roc  | acoming or omnipmyming th     | 10 100000 101   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.                 |                 |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment (I          | PTOL-324).      |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  | :  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov   |  | l be entered and an e         | xplanation of   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,15,16,26,29 and 30.   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
| 13. A Other: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                               |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | /Thai-Ba Trieu/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit: 3748                     |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | November 17, 2009  | iii. 3740                     |                 |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claim 31 would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments on pages 11-14 are not persuasive. Accordingly, the rejection set forth in the Final Rejection mailed on 08/21/2009 should be maintained.

Additionally, the newly added claim 31 would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Correction typo errors of the claim number in the Part of Disposition of Claims of the Office Action Summary and in the Response to Arguments of the Final Rejection mailed on 08/21/2009 as following:

- 1. Disposition of Claims has to be corrected by following:
  - 4. Claim(s) 1-4, 15, 16, 26, 29 and 30 is/are pending in the application.
  - 6. Claim(s) 1-4, 15, 16, 26, 29 and 30 is/are rejected.

Note that claim 8 has been cancelled.

2. Response to Arguments has to be corrected by following:

Applicant's arguments filed on June 19, 2009 with respect to claims 1-4, 15-16, 26, and 29-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection under 112, second Paragraph.

Applicant's arguments filed on June 19, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Accordingly claims 1-4, 15-16, 26, and 29-30 are pending.